



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

~ APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/863,457	05/27/1997	RAJEEV KRISHNAMURTHI	QCPA377CIP	4925	
	590 04/11/2003				
	M INCORPORATED	EXAMINER			
5775 MOREHO SAN DIEGO, O	CA 92121-1714		NGUYEN, STEVEN H D		
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2665	21	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	,			(2)				
₩	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
055	08/863,45	57	KRISHNAMURTHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Steven HD		2665					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the	cover sneet with the d	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no even within the statuill apply and wi cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tirutory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 F	ebruary 20	002 .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is	non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Q	<i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, ²	153 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-17</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	equirement.						
Application Papers	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)	•							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/4/2002 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6, 8 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sauer (USP 6049543) in view of Bolon (USP 5822420).

Sauer discloses a mobile switching center (MSC) 230 connected to a base station subsystem (BSC and BTS) 220 and 260 via an IS-634 A-interface (Fig. 1B). However, Sauer fails to disclose a step of detecting the occurrence of condition whereby a mobile subscriber attempts to make a call while another party is attempting to call the same mobile subscriber and generating a message signal at a mobile switching center for transmitting to the mobile subscriber via base station. In the same field of endeavor, Bolon discloses a method of detecting the occurrence of condition whereby a mobile subscriber attempts to make a call while another

...

Application/Control Number: 08/863,457

Art Unit: 2665

party is attempting to call the same mobile subscriber and generating a message signal at a switching center for transmitting to the mobile subscriber 32a via base station 26 (See Fig 3, col. 3, lines 3-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a signaling protocol as disclosed by Bolon for detecting the occurrence of condition whereby a mobile subscriber attempts to make a call while another party is attempting to call the same mobile subscriber and generating a message signal at a switching center for transmitting to the mobile subscriber via base station into Sauer's communication system. The motivation/suggestion would have been to notify the end points and correct the procedure for establishing a telephone call in a communication system.

4. Claims 3-5, 7, 9-10 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sauer and Bolon as applied to claims 1, 6 and 11 above, and further in view of Vucetic (USP 5873036).

Sauer and Bolon do not explicitly recite that a service request message, page response message, the paging signal and the page message signal is Alert With Information Message Signals in IS-634 interface.

Vucetic shows transmitting service request message, page response message, Alert With Information Message Signals between the MSC, SRC-BU and MU by using IS-634 protocol (Figs 2-6). To use Alert With Information Message Signals would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because Alert With Information Messages have been widely used to represent incoming calls and other data from a base station to a mobile unit over a voice channel.

Application/Control Number: 08/863,457

Art Unit: 2665

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

5. Claims 15-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8848. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D Vu can be reached on (703) 308-6602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Steven HD Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2665 April 2, 2003